DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18141745

FACTORS AFFECTING STUDENTS' WILLINGNESS TO SPEAK ENGLISH IN EFL CLASSROOMS

Umaraliyeva Ruxshona Bahromjon qizi
Xorijiy til va adabiyot 2-kurs 2427-guruh talabasi
Student of UZSWLU

Abstract: *Students' willingness to speak English in EFL classrooms is a complex, dynamic phenomenon influenced by multiple interacting factors. Creating a supportive classroom environment, using communicative teaching strategies, and addressing students' psychological needs are essential for enhancing oral participation.*

Key words: *Willingness to speak, speaking skills, EFL learners, speaking anxiety, classroom interaction.*

The willingness of students to speak English in EFL classrooms is a multifaceted phenomenon shaped by a complex interplay of individual, linguistic, psychological, social, and instructional variables. Among these:

1. Psychological and Affective Factors: Willingness to Communicate (WTC) is primarily governed by the "Affective Filter," where high levels of anxiety and the fear of negative evaluation from peers or teachers act as significant psychological barriers. A student's self-efficacy—their belief in their functional speaking ability—is a direct predictor of their communicative risk-taking, while intrinsic or integrative motivation proves more effective in fostering speech than purely extrinsic rewards like grades.

2. Linguistic Competence: Technical limitations, such as a lack of lexical richness (vocabulary deficits), often prevent students from articulating complex thoughts, leading to communicative frustration. Furthermore, an over-reliance on grammatical

perfection can cause cognitive overload, which effectively freezes speech production, while concerns over pronunciation and being misunderstood can lead to total silence.

3. Classroom and Environmental Dynamics: The pedagogical "climate" is heavily influenced by the teacher's role; a supportive, low-anxiety environment that utilizes sufficient "wait time" allows learners to process thoughts and increases participation. In contrast, large class sizes and a teaching focus that prioritizes constant error correction tend to make students feel exposed and stifle spontaneous communication.

4. Social and Cultural Influences: Cultural norms such as the desire to "save face" often discourage students from speaking to avoid public embarrassment, while in some societies, silence is valued as a sign of respect. Additionally, socio-economic disparities among peers and the fear that adopting English might threaten one's native cultural identity can further inhibit a student's desire to speak.

5. Instructional and Pedagogical Methods: Modern methodologies like Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) promote WTC by focusing on real-life interaction rather than rote memorization. Structured activities, such as pair work, simulations, and role-plays, provide a safe environment for practice, especially when combined with gentle, constructive feedback instead of harsh public criticism.

6. Teacher-Related Factors and Management: The teacher's demeanor—specifically empathy and approachability—is crucial in building the trust necessary for students to take linguistic risks. Effective classroom management that prevents dominant students from overshadowing others and ensures a collaborative atmosphere is essential for maintaining a balanced communicative environment.

7. Individual Differences and Personality: Personality traits such as extroversion and introversion significantly dictate how a student engages with the language; extroverts typically seek out interaction, whereas introverts may prefer lower-pressure, written, or small-group tasks. Age and gender also play context-dependent roles, with younger learners often being more willing to experiment with new sounds compared to self-conscious adult learners.

REFERENCES:

1. McIntyre et al. (1998) – The Modern Language Journal.
2. Dörnyei (2005) – The Psychology of the Language Learner.
3. Liu (2005) – Asian EFL Journal.
4. Yashima (2002) – The Modern Language Journal.
5. Cao (2011) – System.
6. Norton (2000) – Identity and Language Learning.