

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10801744>

CONTENT AND LANGUAGE INTEGRATED LEARNING (CLIL) METHOD AND ITS MERITS AND DEMERITS

Ibragimov Sodikjon

Namangan State Institute of Foreign Languages named after Iskhahon Ibrat

ABSTRACT

Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) is an innovative teaching approach that combines language learning with subject content. By integrating language skills with academic material, CLIL enhances students' understanding and proficiency in both areas simultaneously. This method promotes active learning, critical thinking, and language acquisition through meaningful content. CLIL encourages students to engage with subjects in a holistic manner, fostering deeper comprehension and language fluency. It also prepares learners for real-world applications by developing their communication skills within specific disciplines. Overall, CLIL offers a dynamic and effective way to enhance educational outcomes by merging language development with subject matter expertise.

Key words: *Content and Language Integrated Learning, multiple languages, bilingualism, method, merits, demerits, multilingualism.*

Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) is an approach to language teaching that involves teaching subjects such as history, geography, or science through a foreign language. The concept of CLIL can be traced back to the late 20th century when educators recognized the importance of integrating language learning with content learning. The term "CLIL" was first used in the 1990s by David Marsh and Anne Maljers at the University of Jyväskylä in Finland. They were exploring ways to

improve language learning and saw the potential benefits of combining language instruction with content subjects. CLIL gained popularity in European countries, particularly in multilingual contexts where students needed to learn multiple languages.

CLIL aims to develop both language skills and subject knowledge simultaneously, providing students with opportunities to learn a new language in a meaningful context. By learning a subject in a foreign language, students can improve their language proficiency while also deepening their understanding of the content.

Over the years, CLIL has been implemented in schools and educational institutions around the world as a way to promote bilingualism, enhance cognitive skills, and prepare students for an increasingly globalized world. Research has shown that CLIL can be an effective approach to language learning, leading to improved language proficiency and academic achievement.

Teachers are still modifying CLIL today to fit various classroom environments and linguistic needs. It currently forms a crucial component of language education curricula in many nations, providing pupils with an interesting and engaging approach to acquire language and subject matter topic and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) is a cutting-edge approach to education that integrates language and topic learning at the same time. With roots in the 1970s, it is largely regarded as an efficient method of language learning. We will explore the background of CLIL, weigh its advantages and disadvantages, and acquire a thorough grasp of this well-liked teaching strategy in this post.

The inception of CLIL can be traced back to its initial implementation in European educational institutions during the late 1970s. With the development of the European Union and its push for multilingualism, it became more well-known. CLIL was viewed as a means of bridging the knowledge gap between language acquisition and subject-specific learning, giving students the chance to advance their language skills while learning about a variety of subjects.

The basic principle of CLIL is to teach subjects such as science, mathematics, history, or geography in a foreign language, most commonly English. By doing so,

students are not only exposed to the content of these subjects but are also immersed in the language itself. This integrated approach helps students develop their language skills through meaningful and authentic contexts, making language learning more relevant and engaging.

The capacity of CLIL to promote a profound comprehension of language and content is one of its main advantages. Grammar and vocabulary are the only things covered in traditional language classes, with little attention paid to the real-world applications of language abilities. However, CLIL enables students to communicate with subject matter experts, take part in insightful conversations, and hone their critical thinking and problem-solving abilities in the target language. Learning is made more meaningful and efficient by this holistic approach, which is supported by the integration of language and material.

Moreover, CLIL has been proven to enhance students' motivation and engagement. By providing a real-life context for language learning, students see the practical application of their language skills, leading to increased motivation and interest. This method also encourages active participation and collaboration among students, fostering a student-centered learning environment. Consequently, students feel more empowered and take ownership of their learning journey, which ultimately leads to better outcomes.

Furthermore, the benefits of CLIL extend beyond language acquisition. Research indicates that CLIL can also contribute to the development of intercultural competence and global awareness. As students engage with different subject matters, they are exposed to diverse cultures, perspectives, and ways of thinking. This exposure enhances their cultural understanding, tolerance, and open-mindedness, preparing them to thrive in an increasingly interconnected world.

However, like any teaching method, CLIL also has its demerits. One of the primary concerns is the potential lack of language proficiency among teachers. CLIL requires teachers to deliver subject content in a foreign language, and not all educators possess the necessary language skills. Insufficient language proficiency can hinder

effective communication and comprehension, which may undermine the overall effectiveness of the CLIL approach.

Another challenge lies in the management of classroom dynamics. CLIL classrooms often consist of students with varying levels of language proficiency, which can pose difficulties in terms of accommodating individual needs. Teachers need to strike a balance between challenging more advanced learners and providing support to those who are struggling. Additionally, the increased complexity of CLIL classrooms may require more planning and preparation on the part of the teacher.

Furthermore, the implementation of CLIL may face resistance from traditional educational systems. CLIL challenges the traditional separation between language learning and subject learning, which can be met with skepticism by educators. Resistance to change and concerns over the increased workload for teachers may hinder the widespread adoption of CLIL in educational institutions.

In conclusion, CLIL is a teaching method that integrates content and language learning in a holistic and meaningful way. Its history can be traced back to the 1970s, and it has gained recognition for its merits in enhancing language skills, promoting student engagement, developing intercultural competence, and fostering a global outlook. However, challenges such as teacher proficiency, classroom management, and resistance to change should be addressed to fully harness the potential of CLIL. Through continuous research, collaboration, and adaptation, CLIL can continue to evolve and play a significant role in providing students with a comprehensive and enriching education experience.

REFERENCES

1. Baqoyev, Navrozjon (2023). *O'ZBEK TILIDAGI "QO'L" SO'ZI VA U QATNASHGAN IBORALAR SEMANTIKASI. Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences*, 3 (2), 414-417.
2. Bakoev, N., & Abdumutalova, M. (2023). *YAPON TILIDAGI KANSAI SHEVASI VA O 'ZIGA XOSLIGI. Interpretation and researches*, 1(17).

3. Bakoev, N., & Yuldasheva, S. (2023). YAPONIYA TA'LIM TIZIMI. *Interpretation and researches*, 1(17).
4. Bakoev, N., & Ravshanov, S. (2023). YAPON TILIDAGI IYEROG'LIFLAR. *Educational Research in Universal Sciences*, 2(16), 84-87.
5. Bakoev, N., & Sheraliyeva, F. (2023). YAPONIYA TURIZM SOHASI VA RIVOJLANISHI. *Interpretation and researches*, 1(18).
6. Bakoev, N. (2024). ONE OF MODERN LANGUAGE TEACHING METHODS IS TASK-BASED LANGUAGE TEACHING (TBLT) DISADVANTAGES AND ITS SOLUTIONS. *Educational Research in Universal Sciences*, 3(4 SPECIAL), 53–57. Retrieved from
7. Bakoev, N. (2024). ONE OF MODERN LANGUAGE TEACHING METHODS IS COMMUNICATIVE LANGUAGE TEACHING'S DISADVANTAGES. «МОЯ ПРОФЕССИОНАЛЬНАЯ КАРЬЕРА».
8. Шарофиддинов, М. М. (2016). Из истории железной дороги Бухары. *Молодой ученый*, (9), 962-964.
9. Шарофиддинов, М. М. (2016). Строительство железной дороги Центральной Азии и появление новой экономики в Центральной Азии. *Молодой ученый*, (12), 718-720.
10. Vokhobjonovna, K. S. (2023). PECULIARITIES OF PEDAGOGICAL VIEWS IN THE WORKS OF ISHAQ KHAN IBRAT. *EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)*, 8(11), 156-159.
11. Vokhobzhonovna, X. S. (2023). THE EVOLUTION OF THE CONCEPT OF ACADEMIC FREEDOM IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS. *American Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanity Research*, 3(12), 365-370.
12. Nasiba, A. (2021). Yosh avlod tarbiyasida axloqiy ma'rifatni shaklantirish orqali yoshlarni ijtimoiy tarmoqlarga tobeligini oldini olish. *Ijtimoiy fanlarda innovasiya onlayn ilmiy jurnali*, 1(6), 113-116.
13. Абдухолиқова, Н. А. (2022). ЖАМИЯТ АХБОРОТЛАШУВИНИНГ ИЖОБИЙ САЛБИЙ ХУСУСИЯТЛАРИ. *Conferencea*, 125-129.

14. Alijonovna, A. N. (2023). *AXBOROTLASHUV DAVRIDA JAMIYAT RIVOJIGA TA'SIR ETUVCHI OMILLAR. Ta'limning zamonaviy transformatsiyasi*, 2(1), 491-496.

15. Абдурасулова, У. (2020). *О 'QUVCHILARDA MORFOLOGIK KOMPETENTLIKNI RIVOJLANTIRISH. ИННОВАЦИИ В ПЕДАГОГИКЕ И ПСИХОЛОГИИ*, (SI-2№ 1).

16. Abdurasulova, U. S. (2019). *INNOVATIONAL APPROACH TO IMPROVE MORPHOLOGICAL COMPETENCE. Scientific and Technical Journal of Namangan Institute of Engineering and Technology*, 1(7), 266-270.

17. Шарофиддинов, М. М. (2016). *Об изучении транспортной системы Центральной Азии. Молодой ученый*, (12), 720-721.

18. Sobirov, A. (2023). *THE EPISTOLARY GENRE IN THE LINGUISTIC AND CULTURAL ASPECT. Modern Science and Research*, 2(12), 1336-1339.

19. Mamasodikova, M.. *"THE CATEGORY OF APPRAISAL IN RUSSIAN ROCK POETRY" Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences*, vol. 2, no. 6, 2022, pp. 130-133..

20. Abdulazizovna, V. O. . (2023). *Using new interactive methods in teaching russian as a non-native language in technical universities. Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Art*, 2(4), 66–68. Retrieved from